APPEAL NO. 021660 FILED AUGUST 6, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on May 28, 2002. The hearing officer determined that the appellant (claimant) did not sustain a compensable injury on _______; that if the claimant had been injured at work, the respondent (carrier) would be relieved from liability because the claimant did not timely report an injury; and that because the claimant did not sustain a compensable injury, she did not have disability. The claimant appealed and the carrier responded, urging affirmance.

DECISION

Affirmed.

We have reviewed the complained-of determinations and find that the hearing officer's Decision and Order is supported by sufficient evidence to be affirmed in its entirety. The issues presented questions of fact for the hearing officer. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. Section 410.165(a); Texas Employers Ins. Ass'n v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). There was conflicting evidence presented on the disputed issues. It was for the hearing officer, as the trier of fact, to resolve the conflicts and inconsistencies in the evidence and to determine what facts had been established. Garza v. Commercial Ins. Co., 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). Nothing in our review of the record reveals that the hearing officer's determinations are so contrary to the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. As such, no sound basis exists for us to reverse those determinations on appeal. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986).

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **WAUSAU BUSINESS INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

CT CORPORATION SYSTEMS 350 NORTH ST. PAUL, SUITE 2900 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201.

	Daniel R. Barry Appeals Panel
CONCUR:	
Thomas A. Knapp Appeals Panel	
Robert W. Potts	
Appeals Panel	